

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,213	12/04/2001	Fred H. Burbank	R0367-00201 7928	
75	90 07/14/2006		EXAMINER	
Edward J. Lynch			FOREMAN, JONATHAN M	
Duane Morris L	LP			
One Market			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Spear Tower, Ste 2000			3736	
San Francisco, CA 94105			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/010,213	BURBANK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jonathan ML Foreman	3736				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ap	oril 2006.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-11,13,15,19-22,24-29,31,35,36,39,40,43,45,46,49-55 and 57-74</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
• —	☑ Claim(s) <u>65-68</u> is/are allowed.						
• —	Claim(s) <u>51,61-63,69,70 and 74</u> is/are rejected.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>53,64 and 71-73</u> is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
الــا(ە	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
. —	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
· `	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	eu.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/27/05</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
, apo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, _					

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 3-11,13,15,19-22,24-29,31,35,36,39,40,43,45,46,49,50,52,54,55 and 57-60.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,213 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/17/06 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 12/27/05 complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609. It has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered by the examiner as to the merits.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 65 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 11 of claim 65 states, "element which disposed". The Examiner suggests inserting --is-- between "which" and "disposed". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 51, 61 63, 69, 70 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,117,153 to Lary et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,213

In regards to claims 51, 61 - 63, 69, 70 and 74, Lary et al. discloses an instrument having a elongated shaft (12) having a longitudinal axis and a distal end (52) adapted for entry into a patient's body; a tissue cutting element (14, 22) on a distal portion of the instrument proximal to the distal end which has a contracted configuration for delivery to the body site (Col. 4, line 66 - Col. 5, line 1) and an expanded configuration (Col. 5, lines 1-4) for separating tissue form the body site adjacent the distal portion of the instrument; the cutting element is capable of cutting along its length and is longitudinally aligned; and a mechanical fixation element (46) on the distal portion of the instrument proximal to the distal end which has a retracted configuration (Figure 7) and which has an expanded configuration (Figure 8) for securing the distal portion of the instrument at the body site (Col. 2, lines 13 - 22) in order to ensure that the tissue acquisition instrument remains in place during a tissue specimen acquisition procedure so that the specimen is properly acquired. The mechanical fixation element includes at least one pair of outwardly bendable legs having a first and second leg segments and a hinged linkage therebetween and distal ends with a hinged linkage (Figure 7). It is noted that a recitation with respect to the manner in which an apparatus is intended to be employed does not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus that differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the structural limitations of the claim. In re Pearson, 494 F.2d 1399, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1947); In re Yanush, 477 F.2d 958, 177 USPQ705 (CCPA 1973); In re Finsterwalder, 436 F.2d 1028, 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458 (CCPA 1963); Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (BbPatApp & Inter 1987).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 65 – 68 are allowed. Claims 53, 64 and 71 - 73 objected to as being dependent upon 6. a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMLF